

.

From: Anne S [anneveer@yahoo.com.au]
Sent: Wednesday, 21 February 2007 12:29 PM
To: 'philip s'
Subject: RE: Erini Stiliano - Prime Witness & defendant Negates affidavit

Hi Dad

How are things going over there? I read the affidavit a couple of times. She is very sneaky about how she worded it. I was going to question about the claims she is making on some of the land titles in Greece but when I read the affidavit again she cleverly didn't say she was putting in a claim on the land, she has asked for directions from the court as to whether she should have included these titles in dispute in the assets and liabilities for probate.

As far as I am aware these should have been included originally and noted that they were under dispute. I remember Gary listing everything for probate on George's will and had noted that some were under investigation etc.

I was talking to Michael about all this and he reckons it will probably be a waste of time that they have gone to court on this because the judge most likely won't issue orders as you (the defendant) are not there. It will be interesting to hear the outcome of all of this.

Keep me posted and I will continue to do what I can to help.

Annie

From: philip s [mailto:philstil2005-greece@yahoo.com.au]
Sent: Wednesday, 21 February 2007 8:58 AM
To: probate@courts.sa.gov.au; kathryn.yarlett@courts.sa.gov.au
Cc: anneveer@yahoo.com.au; 'Nicky V'; 'Irene Stilianos'; 'sonja w'; 'mhalse'
Subject: Erini Stiliano - Prime Witness & defendant Negates affidavit

Probate Registrar & Probate Judge Gray

Please note that yesterday (20 Feb 2007, Adelaide) in a long interview with Vanessa Varga, Stella Stiliano, 48, who lived with Erini Stiliano for Stella's entire life, effectively negated and nullified the affidavit.

Vanessa Varga's comment, when Stella asked her what she thought, said flippantly - 'oh well that's another opinion'. that opened her eyes.

No. It's not another opinion.

It is the correct and common 'opinion' of everyone, including Anna when someone decides to interrogate her in a professional manner.

Vanessa learnt quite a few things. She must now surely feel that she has made one huge mistake, a mistake shared by some members of the SA bureaucracy.

Could I please ask you to now find a way to back out gracefully.

If you were to peruse all the documentation submitted to you by the plaintiff or others (Public Trustee, Guardianship Board), I bet you a million dollars (euros here in Greece) that you will not find one instance, not one iota, not a single shred of any primary documentation supporting **any** of the plaintiff's claims in the affidavit.

There would have been *only two key documents*, two letters in Greek secretly submitted to the Public Trustee in 2001 by the plaintiff, but the plaintiff has apparently not presented these in the affidavit because the letters claiming additional land to be gifted to the plaintiff by my mother were elegantly demonstrated by myself in 2004, when the letters were accidentally discovered, to be forgeries composed by the plaintiff's husband. This has been well documented.

You have been presented with a bunch of Greek documents, untranslated, and told in the affidavit what they are meant to be, with the promise of translations to be provided. Please don't fall for that one. One of the Greek documents, a POA that the plaintiff states is hers, is actually MY POA which I had prepared for me in 1987. It's in Greek, but you'll see the words Melbourne and Philipos (in Greek).

I sent that POA to all siblings in 2001 to prove to them that Anna's claim that Erini Stiliano gifted her ALL of the Greek land by virtue of the 'Greek custom' of giving everything to the daughters was negated by the POA. There is also another primary document, attached, a letter sent to me by Stella in 1987 of my mother's promise to distribute the land equally (implied) among the 5 children.

I kept these documents, the POA and the letter, to prove to the siblings that their view (long since quashed) that the 'daughters Anna and Stella' should be gifted and inherit everything was not a view that my mother had espoused.

My mother's POA directives and my POA directives were not implemented but were overturned by the POA's who comprehensively threw out of the window the POA directives. The plaintiff complained to her parents unrelentingly and ground them into giving her freehold of over \$1 Million dollars in property assets, most of that being Rhodes properties in the form of house and blocks of prime land, and the remainder being a shop in Adelaide. That \$1M+ is today's value of assets and rental income derived since 1975 and 1993.

When my mother's pleas to distribute some of the Greek properties to my family were ignored by her POA in 1993, after the gifting of the bulk and best of her properties to Anna and some to Stella between March and July 1993, my mother mustered the courage to make a draft will in August 1993 nominating my family as the inheritors of what was left at that time. The POA had angrily ruled in an argument with Stella, before my mother made her will, that he was now claiming ownership and control of the properties and so he would do with them as he wished - and he did so without my oppresses mother ever again having any input.

The plaintiff has prepared a long affidavit omitting the gifting, giving vague accounts of the timing of events, and flogs to death an obsolete argument as to how my mother's intention of distributing to everyone should be honoured. That intention was destroyed by the plaintiff herself when she grabbed the bulk of it!

The plaintiff will whine forever that she deserved all of that property gifting and that therefore she does not consider it gifting. That's the same plaintiff who does not recognise my mother as the owner of her titles, and that does not recognise my mother's ability to make an exact and fair will. The plaintiff's ingratitude is unmatched in time and space. Just ask around.

I am concerned about the expense of this expensive affidavit filled with perjuries and a forged exhibit (AM14).

The reason for this statement of mine is to ensure that **the plaintiff pays for all legal costs**

as she deliberately has attempted to target and deceive the probate registrar and probate judge, and in her role as Executor has abused her position to access the services of her estate solicitor to attempt to swindle the estate.

If you have any questions or would like to be further enlightened on any matter, the cc recipients above and Stella Stiliano will give you a more dignified and beautiful account of a beautiful will made by a beautiful person, my beautiful mother Erini Stiliano.

Philip Stilianos
Athens
20 February 2007

--
Internal Virus Database is out-of-date.
Checked by AVG Free Edition.
Version: 7.1.410 / Virus Database: 268.17.11/652 - Release Date: 25/01/2007

--
Internal Virus Database is out-of-date.
Checked by AVG Free Edition.
Version: 7.1.410 / Virus Database: 268.17.11/652 - Release Date: 25/01/2007

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG - <http://www.avg.com>
Version: 8.0.175 / Virus Database: 270.8.5/1763 - Release Date: 2008/11/02 7:08 PM