

.

From: Sonja Withoos [sonjwit70@hotmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, 30 March 2011 4:45 PM
To: Dorothy Hoverd; Anne Home; Nicky Home; Irene - home
Cc: Shamona; mama_sara31@live.com.au; stelstil@yahoo.com.au; Dad
Subject: RE: A reply to Facebook abuse - Last March 2010

Again??? Seriously???? When are you ever going to give up. You know nothing about our past and our personal life. Mine in particular.

I don't care what he has as "evidence" he can tell you what you want, he can show you what he wants, the fact is, I know how I felt as a child and what I remember as a child and a teenager and even an adult. Please do not even start to say he was a good father because even if those things are true about working hard and not having time and giving money to my mum that is not what I remember and never to this day has he even tried to defend himself and explain what you have written in your letter. Why are you explaining it, it should be coming from him.

I am sorry Dorothy, I know you see him differently and maybe he has changed and means well etc but it falls on deaf ears for me. Please do not try to defend his behaviour prior to you knowing him because you know nothing. Say all you want about now, that I might believe but not before. I will say that there was definitely some good fatherly times with him. I loved him as a child. He was never abusive or anything like that but as we got older he changed. He is not the man he makes out to be.

Yes he has leant us money in the past but as you said yourself we had to "beg and plead" for it. He never willingly and lovingly gave it to us. There were always conditions and paybacks required. Regardless of whether we could afford it or not. You could almost say that we had to beg and plead for him to show us any attention. It was always a struggle with him to attend family social functions, especially ones that involved giving presents. The kids (our kids) had to hassle him to even possibly get some money from him and that he always gave with reluctance. Even now he doesn't attend social functions he has been invited to, to the point that I just don't bother any more.

I have enough of other things in my life to deal with that this is something that I don't want to. So please keep me out of it. I don't want anymore insults flying and name calling and you won't get it from me.

By the way, did he tell you that approximately a month ago, maybe a little longer I offered to look at assisting him. All he had to do was send me details of what he wanted me to look into and I was going to decide if I agreed and would look into further. He said he would let me know and I never heard from him since. So please no more of these crap letters because they mean shit.

Sonja Withoos
2 Edmunds Road, Cockatoo 3781
 *0430 422 154*

From: dorothyhoverd@hotmail.com
To: anneveer@yahoo.com.au; nickytig68@yahoo.com.au; renie_68@hotmail.com;
sonjwit70@hotmail.com
CC: shamona.s@hotmail.com; mama_sara31@live.com.au; stelstil@yahoo.com.au; philstil2005-
oz@yahoo.com.au
Subject: A reply to Facebook abuse - Last March 2010
Date: Wed, 30 Mar 2011 11:05:11 +1100

30/04/2011

30 March 2011

Hi Anne-Marie, Nicky, Irene, and Sonja

The attached letter to you is the research I have done, because of the abuse from you all in March last year.

It shows that your father was not the person you made him out to be, and I know he is not like that, I have never found him to be a liar, or to be untrustworthy, and I am confident he has never lied to you.

It would be nice if you could make some effort to support yourselves in understanding that you each had a legal stake in your grandmother's estate. Under the South Australian Supreme Court Rules, you all had been deliberately and illegally omitted from the summons. Had you been included, the summons would never have been issued, because the plaintiff knew that you were the main threat to discrediting her 'claims'. Under South Australian Inheritance Law, you all had a much greater claim to the estate than the plaintiff did.

You should never have trusted a word the plaintiff's solicitor and barrister told you. They saw you, not your father, as the main threats to their case. They knew they could not undermine your claims to the estate, but you had so much against the plaintiff's claims, and they knew that. You had to be stopped at all costs, apparently some have been.

So if you are interested in pursuing any action of your own, you will need the fine details, just ask your father.

Dorothy

No virus found in this message.

Checked by AVG - www.avg.com

Version: 10.0.1209 / Virus Database: 1500/3540 - Release Date: 03/30/11